

The document below is hereby signed.

Dated: May 17, 2012.



S. Martin Teel Jr.

**S. Martin Teel, Jr.
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge**

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In re)	
)	
NORA ANITA WILLIAMS and)	Case No. 85-00783
SAMUEL WILLIAMS,)	(Chapter 13)
)	Not for Publication in
Debtor(s).)	West's Bankruptcy Reporter

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING
APPLICATION FOR RELEASE OF UNCLAIMED FUNDS

On March 26, 2012, Wells Fargo & Company, successor-in-interest to Wells Fargo Credit Corp., filed an application for release of unclaimed funds in the amount of \$168.07 (Dkt. No. 93). On April 1, 1994, the unclaimed dividend in question was deposited into the court's registry pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 347(a),¹ and the funds remain on deposit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2041 and 2042. Absent a showing by Wells Fargo & Company that the debt once payable to Wells Fargo Credit Corp. has not been

¹ 11 U.S.C. § 347(a) provides, in relevant part:

Ninety days after final distribution under section . . . 1326 of this title in a case under chapter . . . 13 of this title . . . the trustee shall stop payment on any check remaining unpaid, and any remaining property of the estate shall be paid into the court and disposed of under chapter 129 of title 28 [28 USC §§ 2041 et seq.].

previously satisfied, the court will not grant the relief sought.

The burden is on Wells Fargo & Company to demonstrate that it is entitled to the funds sought. *Hansen v. United States*, 340 F.2d 142, 144 (8th Cir. 1965). Although the record before the court demonstrates that Wells Fargo Credit Corp. was at one time entitled to the funds, Wells Fargo & Company, as Wells Fargo Credit Corp.'s successor-in-interest, has not demonstrated a present entitlement, as required. See 28 U.S.C. § 2042;² *Willametz v. Susi*, 489 F.2d 364, 366 (1st Cir. 1973).

This is a 1985 case and the check in question was deposited by the trustee more than a decade ago. The application does not indicate why the check went uncashed,³ and although the funds were originally distributed by check made payable to Wells Fargo

² 28 U.S.C. § 2042 provides:

No money deposited under section 2041 of this title shall be withdrawn except by order of the court.

In every case in which a right to withdraw money deposited in court under section 2041 has been adjudicated or is not in dispute and such money has remained so deposited for at least five years unclaimed by the person entitled thereto, such court shall cause such money to be deposited in the Treasury in the name and to the credit of the United States. Any claimant entitled to such money may, on petition to the court and upon notice to the United States attorney and **full proof of right thereto**, obtain an order directing payment to him. [Emphasis added.]

³ In the space provided to explain why the funds went unclaimed, Wells Fargo's representative merely states "to the best of my knowledge, these funds have never been received."

Credit Corp. pursuant to an allowed claim, the court is not willing to deem this satisfactory evidence, standing alone, of Wells Fargo & Company's **present** entitlement to the funds. See *Willametz*, 489 F.2d at 366.

If Wells Fargo Credit Corp.'s claim that was the basis for the issuance of the distribution has already been satisfied, circumstances have changed such that Wells Fargo & Company is no longer entitled, as successor-in-interest, to the funds. *Id.* at 367 (quoting *Harris v. Balk*, 198 U.S. 215, 226 (1905) ("It ought to be and is the object of courts to prevent the payment of any debt twice over.")). For example, the debt may have been one as to which there was a co-debtor, and that co-debtor may have paid the debt. Or the debt may have been secured by a lien on collateral, and may have been collected via enforcement of that lien. Or, although unlikely, the debtors (who received a discharge) may have voluntarily paid the debt.⁴

Any overpayment that might result from payment of the unclaimed funds to Wells Fargo & Company is not simply a matter to be resolved by Wells Fargo and the debtors. Instead,

⁴ On its application, Wells Fargo & Company states that an account record was maintained but is unavailable because Wells Fargo Credit Corp. closed on April 16, 1998, more than a decade ago, and its assets were absorbed by its parent company. In the space provided for Wells Fargo to explain how it can certify that the debt remains unpaid notwithstanding the lack of account records, Wells Fargo responds by stating "n/a." Wells Fargo & Company cannot meet its burden to show a present day entitlement to the funds without first showing that the debt remains due.

§ 2042 requires that the court determine Wells Fargo's entitlement to the funds. It is thus

ORDERED that Wells Fargo & Company's application for release of unclaimed funds (Dkt. No. 93) is DENIED without prejudice to the filing of an amended application demonstrating a present day entitlement to the funds.

[Signed and dated above.]

Copies to:

Wells Fargo & Company
Attn: Cynthia A. Long, Agent
UP Recoveries
P.O. Box 3908
Portland, OR 97208