The order below is hereby signed.

Signed: August 26, 2008.



S. Martin Teel, Jr. United States Bankruptcy Judge

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| In re                  | )                          |     |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-----|
|                        | )                          |     |
| MONZELL C. FAIRFAX and | ) Case No. 07-00663        |     |
| TERRIE FAIRFAX,        | ) (Chapter 13)             |     |
|                        | ) Not for Publication in   |     |
| Debtor.                | ) West's Bankruptcy Report | ter |

## MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER RE APPLICATION FOR COMPENSATION

The debtor's counsel, Lara J. Margulies, has filed an application for compensation seeking entry of an order approving total compensation of \$4,500, reduced by \$1,500 received already, for a net administrative claim of \$3,000. Counsel's statement under Rule 2016(b) stated:

Fees based on hourly rate of \$300.00, Counsel will file fee application.

The application, however, fails to include any statement of time

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  The application states that total compensation was to be \$3000, but the proposed order, consistent with the Rule 2016(b) statement, seeks compensation of \$4,500.00.

spent on this case by the debtor's counsel.<sup>2</sup> So far, this case appears principally to have entailed filing papers required by Rule 1007, attending the meeting of creditors, and obtaining confirmation of a plan (without the necessity of appearing at a confirmation hearing). Moreover, the Rule 2016(b) statement excludes from the fees stated representation in any contested matter or adversary proceeding. Total fees of \$4,500.00 appears excessive for principally filing Rule 1007 papers, attending the meeting of creditors, and getting a plan to confirmation without a hearing. It is accordingly

ORDERED that by September 22, 2008, the debtor's counsel shall supplement her fee application with time entries to demonstrate the propriety of awarding the fees sought.

[Signed and dated above.]

Copies to: Debtor's attorney; Chapter 13 Trustee; Office of U.S. Trustee.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The Rule 2016(b) statement fails to explain how total fees in the case can be stated to be \$4,500, yet fees are to be based on an hourly rate of \$300. The court can only guess that \$4,500 was an estimate of what the hourly fees might total, but the statement ought to have made that clear.