The order below is hereby signed.

Signed: July 26 2017



S. Martin Teel, Jr.
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| In re |) |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| |) |
| TRIGEE FOUNDATION, INC., |) Case No. 12-00624 |
| |) (Chapter 11) |
| Debtor. |) |
| |) Not for publication in |
| |) West's Bankruptcy Reporter |

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER RE MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

The debtor has filed a Motion for Protective Order, seeking to be relieved from any obligation to comply with the Subpoena and Notice of Deposition Duces Tecum issued by Lerch, Early & Brewer, Chtd. ("Lerch Early") for purposes of collecting fees that this court awarded to Lerch Early. For reasons discussed in a Memorandum Decision re Motion to Quash of this date, the orders awarding compensation to Lerch Early were not monetary judgments. Accordingly, Lerch Early is not entitled to take discovery in aid of collecting the amounts awarded. Lerch Early may pursue obtaining a monetary judgment against the debtor in a court of

competent jurisdiction, and then pursue discovery in aid of collecting any such judgment. 1 It is thus

ORDERED that the debtor's Motion for Protective Order is GRANTED. It is further

ORDERED that the debtor, Trigee Foundation, Inc., is relieved from any obligation to comply with the Subpoena and Notice of Deposition *Duces Tecum* issued by Lerch Early, copies of which are attached as an Exhibit to the *Motion for Protective Order*. It is further

ORDERED that, there being no monetary judgment against the debtor, Lerch Early is not entitled at this juncture to pursue discovery from the debtor under Fed. R. Civ. P. 69(a)(2).

[Signed and dated above.]

Copies to: Recipients of e-notification of orders.

¹ Because this case has been dismissed, it is unclear whether this court would have subject matter jurisdiction over an adversary proceeding to recover such a judgment. Such a judgment would have no impact on the administration of the bankruptcy estate that existed in this case. Administration of that estate ceased upon dismissal of the case because the property of the estate revested in the debtor upon dismissal of the case.