

The document below is hereby signed.

Signed: March 8, 2018



A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "S. Martin Teel, Jr." with a stylized flourish at the end.

S. Martin Teel, Jr.
United States Bankruptcy Judge

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

In re)	
)	
MARTHA AKERS,)	Case No. 16-00600
)	(Chapter 7)
Debtor.)	Not for publication in
)	West's Bankruptcy Reporter.

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER STRIKING NOTICE OF
CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTION AND REMOVAL TO DISTRICT COURT

On February 13, 2018, the debtor filed a *Notice of Constitutional Question and Removal to District Court* (Dkt. No. 277). The *Notice* includes (at page 1) a request for removal of Adversary Proceeding No. 17-10034 and (at page 4) a request "for revision of the Remand Order."¹ However, under Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9013, a request for an order requires the filing of a motion, not a notice.

I

To the extent that the *Notice* is seeking removal of Adversary Proceeding No. 17-10034 to the District Court, a notice of removal is not the proper procedure for having a matter heard

¹ The Clerk docketed the *Notice* in both this, the main bankruptcy case, and in Adversary Proceeding No. 17-10034.

in the District Court instead of the Bankruptcy Court. Instead, the procedure is to file a motion for withdrawal of the reference under District Court Local Bankruptcy Rule 5011-2. The *Notice* does not suffice to alert anyone, including any party and the Clerk's office, that a motion for withdrawal of the reference is being pursued.

Even if the *Notice* were titled a motion to withdraw the reference, it does not comply with District Court Local Bankruptcy Rule 5011-2, which requires that a motion to withdraw the reference be accompanied by the required filing fee (a fee of \$181 imposed by item 19 of the Bankruptcy Court Miscellaneous Fee Schedule), and that the motion include (among other things):

(4) a copy of the docket sheet of the case or proceeding for which withdrawal of the reference is requested;

(5) a separate list of any documents filed in the Bankruptcy Court that are relevant to the motion for withdrawal of the reference, including the date of filing, the document number, and the title of each such document; and

(6) copies of the listed relevant documents (other than documents that were filed under seal), appended to the list in chronological sequence, with each document to bear the Electronic Case Filing header showing the document number and date of filing in the Bankruptcy Court.

In addition, under District Court Local Bankruptcy Rule 5011-3, "(4) The motion to withdraw the reference . . . must include a proposed order captioned for the District Court and complying with LCvR 7(k)."

II

The *Notice* additionally seeks revision of an unidentified "Remand Order." If it had been pursued as a motion, the *Notice* is deficient for failing to identify the "Remand Order." There has only been one remand order in this bankruptcy case, the *Order Remanding Removed Eviction Proceeding to the Superior Court* entered on August 3, 2017, in *1368 H Street LLC v. Akers*, Adversary Proceeding No. 17-10017 (an adversary proceeding brought in this bankruptcy case). A motion to revise that order ought to be pursued in Adversary Proceeding No. 17-10017, and not be included in a motion seeking relief in another adversary proceeding.

III

For all of these reasons, it is

ORDERED that the *Notice of Constitutional Question and Removal to District Court* (Dkt. No. 277) is STRICKEN without prejudice to the debtor's seeking relief in a procedurally correct fashion.

[Signed and dated above.]

Copies to: Debtor (by hand-mail); debtor (held at the clerk's office, directed to the debtor's attention); recipients of e-notification of orders.